

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA  
IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY  
CIVIL DIVISION**

**OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL,  
DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS,  
STATE OF FLORIDA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**Case No.: 51-2010-CA-2912-WS/G**

vs.

**BOTFLY, LLC, DAVID R. LEWALSKI,  
and JON J. HAMMILL,**

**Defendants.**

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**INTERVENORS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION  
TO LEWALSKI'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS**

Come now Intervenors, by and through their undersigned attorney, and file this Response in Opposition to Lewalski's Motion to Stay Proceedings and state:

1. Lewalski's grounds for his Motion to Stay Proceedings include his ability to represent himself in this proceeding at the conclusion of the criminal case against him, presuming that he will be found not guilty and will not be incarcerated at the conclusion of that case.

2. There is no guarantee that Lewalski will be released from incarceration within the foreseeable future; therefore, Lewalski has not submitted convincing grounds to stay these proceedings.

3. A. This proceeding has been going on for more than a year, and there is no way for anyone to accurately predict when it will end.

B. Any stay of this proceeding will only result in further damage to the investors who are anxiously awaiting the conclusion of this case and hoping that there will be funds to distribute to them after all the assets have been gathered by the receiver.

C. Also, any stay of this proceeding would be highly prejudicial to each investor who the uncontradicted complaint in this case alleges were injured through Botfly, LLC and by Lewalski individually.

4. A. Lewalski's ability to file his motion to stay proceedings shows that he is, in fact, able to represent himself in this proceeding.

B. Lewalski is on the certificate of service for all parties and non-party participants, such as the receiver and the intervenors, as well as the "copies furnished to" list of the court, all of which keeps him apprised of the actions taken or to be taken.

C. There is no need to appear in court personally to represent oneself; indeed, the undersigned has attended civil hearings with prisoners who were permitted to represent themselves by phone from the prison or were transported to and from the proceedings by law enforcement officers.

5. Therefore, there is no prejudice for Lewalski to defend himself from prison while the case goes forward for the benefit of the injured parties and persons, and any stay would be highly prejudicial to such victims/investors.

WHEREFORE, Intervenors request that this court deny Lewalski's Motion to Stay Proceedings.

Respectfully submitted on April 14, 2011.

/s/ Gabriel Mazzeo  
Gabriel Mazzeo  
285 Ocklawaha Circle  
Quincy FL 32351  
Phone 850-627-6122  
Fla. Bar No. 0143079  
[gmazzeo11@gmail.com](mailto:gmazzeo11@gmail.com)  
Attorney for Intervenors

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT a true copy of the above and foregoing has been furnished

by Email to the following persons:

Nicholas J. Weilhammer, Esquire  
R. Scott Palmer, Esquire  
Gregory Scott Slempp, Esquire  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
c/o Gregory Scott Slempp, Esquire  
Assistant Attorney General  
greg.slempp@myfloridalegal.com

Jeffrey W. Warren, Esquire  
Karen Cox, Esquire  
Bush Ross, P.A.  
Attorneys for Receiver  
c/o Karen Cox, Esquire  
kcox@bushross.com

Jon J. Hammill  
Defendant, Pro Se  
jonhammill@yahoo.com

and by U.S. Mail to the following person, on April 14, 2011:

Inmate David Lewalski  
Pinellas County Jail  
Cell Location/Status CEN-6CI-UN01-08-003  
14400 49<sup>th</sup> Street North  
Clearwater FL 33762

/s/ Gabriel Mazzeo

Gabriel Mazzeo